UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,502	02/25/2002	Kimmo Narkilahti	089229.00007	2649
	7590 06/24/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 2	22182-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,502	NARKILAHTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHARAD RAMPURIA	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the Claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various Claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each Claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knight et al. [GB 2327175] in view of Kimoto; Takashi et al. [US 6115611 A1].

Application/Control Number: 10/018,502 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding Claim 1, Knight discloses method for determining the behavior patterns of users of a telecommunication system on the basis of information collected from the telecommunication system, (Pg.1; 26-35), the method comprising;

Defining at least one variable or a combination of variables of the telecommunication, (Pg.4; 8-29)

Filtering user-specific information corresponding to the defined at least one variable or a combination of variables from the information collected from the telecommunication system, (Pg.4; 31-Pg.5; 34, Pg.3; 16-Pg.4; 6)

Knight fails to disclose classifying the users of the telecommunication system based on the filtered user-specific information into various classes indicative of a user's behavior patterns during use of the telecommunication system. However, KIMOTO teaches in an analogous art, that the classifying the users of the telecommunication system based on the filtered user-specific information into various classes indicative of a user's behavior patterns during use of the telecommunication system. (e.g. providing hierarchical information based on user's group; Col.44; 43-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include classifying the users of the telecommunication system based on the filtered user-specific information into various classes indicative of a user's behavior patterns during use of the telecommunication system in order to provide a method for providing information based on the subscriber's position in a wireless mobile communications system.

Regarding Claim 2, Knight discloses a method according to Claim 1, characterized in that said user-specific information is filtered from the telecommunication system in real time. (Pg.4; 15-21)

Regarding Claim 3, Knight disclose a method according to Claim 1, characterized in that after said filtering of information the filtered information is saved (3; fig.1) for later analysis. (Pg.3; 20-24)

Regarding Claim 4-6, Knight discloses all the particulars of the Claim except after the classification; statistical analysis is carried out on the classified information. However, KIMOTO teaches in an analogous art, that a method according to Claim 1, characterized in that after the classification; statistical analysis is carried out on the classified information e.g. providing hierarchical information based on user's group; Col.44; 43-52).

Regarding Claim 7, Knight discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the classifying comprises classifying the users into the various classes indicative of a user's classes of behavior patterns defined in connection with the definition of variables (Pg.1; line 26-Pg.2; line 18, Pg.3; line 16-34).

Regarding Claim 8, Knight discloses all the particulars of the Claim except the definitions of the classes of behavior patterns used in the classification of users are changed. However, KIMOTO teaches in an analogous art, that a method according to Claim 1,

characterized in that the definitions of the classes of behavior patterns used in the classification of users are changed (e.g. providing hierarchical information based on user's group; Col.44; 43-52).

Regarding Claim 9, Knight discloses all the particulars of the Claim except filtered unclassified information is classified into said new classes of behavior patterns. However, KIMOTO teaches in an analogous art, that a method according to Claim 8, characterized in that said filtered unclassified information is classified into said new classes of behavior patterns (e.g. providing hierarchical information based on user's group; Col.44; 43-52).

Regarding Claim 10, Knight discloses a method according to Claim 1, characterized in that at least one of the variables is the type of contract of the user (Pg.3; 16-34).

Regarding Claim 11, Knight discloses a method according to Claim 1, characterized in that at least one of the variables is the type of system service. (Pg.3; 16-Pg.4; 6)

Regarding Claim 12, Knight disclose a method according to Claim 1, characterized in that said telecommunication system is a mobile communication system (1; fig.1; Pg.1; 7-10)

Claims 13-18 system claims, corresponding to method Claims 1-3, 9-10, 12 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of Claims 1-3, 9-10, 12 respectively, above.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,502 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 19-24 system claims, corresponding to method Claims 1-3, 9-10, 12 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of Claims 1-3, 9-10, 12 respectively, above.

Response to Amendments & Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24, have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne Bost can be reached on (571) 272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,502 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or EBC@uspto.gov.

/Sharad Rampuria/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2617